## **REMARKS**

## I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-11 are pending. Claims 1, 5 and 6 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled or added by this reply. Claims 9-11 have been withdrawn from consideration by the Examiner in the outstanding office action.

Support for the amendments to claims 1 and 5 are found throughout the present specification as originally filed, including original claims 9-11.

## II. REJECTION OF THE CLAIMS

In the outstanding office action, the Examiner rejected all the claims as anticipated by and/or obvious over a combination of Ikeda et al (JP 06279256 A), US 6,242012 (Newmark et al) and/or WO 01/41778 (Sung et al.).

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiners rejections.

In the outstanding office action, the Examiner has rejected then pending claims 1-8 over JP 06279256 with Newmark as evidencing inherent characteristics. The Examiner has also relied upon Newmark alone, and Newmark in combination with Sung et al. in support of the obviousness rejection.

Applicants do not necessarily concede that a proper case of either anticipation or obviousness had been made out on the basis of the patent documents cited by the Examiner. Furthermore, applicants do not hereby exceed to the correctness of any of the statements regarding the prior art or the claimed invention made by the Examiner in the outstanding

5

U.S. Application No. 10/578,344

Docket No. C 2892 PCT/US

Page 6

office action. Nevertheless, and without prejudice to pursuing claims of the scope to say

Mass or similar to those previously presented, applicants have amended the claims to recite

subject matter that produces unexpected results relative to the disclosures contained in the

cited patent documents. More specifically, it is believed that the compositions as now

claimed, namely, compositions which include extracts obtained as a result of supercritical

solvent extraction, are capable of providing unexpectedly superior performance with regard to

anti-aging functionality. Examples of such unexpected results are mentioned in the present

specification, and in order to meet the Examiners objections and to facilitate prosecution of

the present application, applicants have filed concurrently herewith a petition to suspend

prosecution for a period of three (3) months to develop, compile and/or present the data

necessary to establish such unexpected results.

III. CONCLUSION

The claims pending in the present application are believed to define subject matter

which is patentable over the prior art, and applicants request suspension of prosecution in

order to develop and/or present the necessary data to support the patentability of the pending

claims.

If any additional fees are required to further the prosecution of this application, the

Office is authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1943.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 4, 2008

/Joseph F. Posillico/

Joseph F. Posillico

6

S DRIVE 0v 12/04/08

U.S. Application No. 10/578,344 Docket No. C 2892 PCT/US Page 7

Reg. No. 32290

Fox Rothschild LLP 1101 Market Street Suite 2600 Philadelphia, PA 19107 Telephone: (215) 923-4466 Facsimile: (215) 923-2189

 $Q:\S \ drive - Clients\C\COGNIS\Patents\P40090\ USA\Drafts\reply\ to\ OA\ 8-4-08.doc$ 

7